UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)
PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

MDL NO 2924 20-MD-2924

JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

JESSICA HUBER, Individually and on behalf of all Statutory Beneficiaries pursuant to Missouri Wrongful Death Statute Rev. Mo. Stat. § 537.080

SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT - VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in *In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Lability Litigation*, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint - Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	Plaintiff(s) Jessica Huber
	("Plaintiff(s)") brings this action (check the applicable designation):
	On behalf of [himself/herself];

	In representative capacity as the Next of Kin, on bel	half
	of the injured party, (Injured Party's Nar Vicki R. Merkel	ne)
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen of (City, Statement 2) and claims damages as set forth below.	ate)
	—OR—	
	Decedent died on (Month, Day, Year) Oct 20 2021 . At the time	e o
	Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen of (City, State	e)
	Fenton, Missouri	
If any party	claims loss of consortium,	
3.	N/A ("Consortium Plaintiff") alleges damages for loss	of
	consortium.	
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff	is a
	citizen and resident of (City, State) N/A.	
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in (City, Sta N/A	ate)
B. DEF	TENDANT(S)	

- 6. Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:
 - a. Brand-Name Manufacturers:

GlaxoSmithKline Pfizer Boehringer Ingelheim Sanofi

b.	Generic Manufacturers:
c.	Distributors and Repackager:
d.	Retailers:
e.	Others Not Named in the AMPIC:

C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7.	Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]:		
	Eastern District of MO		
8.	Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.		
	II. PRODUCT USE		
9.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitid	ine: [<i>Check all th</i>	at apply]
	By prescription		
	Over the counter		
10.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranitidine from approximately (month, year) Mar 2000 to Jul 2020 .		
	III. PHYSICAL INJURY		
11.	As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medicat was diagnosed with the following specific type of car	_	
heck all that apply	Cancer Type	Approximat Diagno	
	BLADDER CANCER		
	BREAST CANCER		
	COLORECTAL/INTESTINAL CANCER		
X	ESOPHAGEAL CANCER	Jul	2020
		.	

Check all that apply	Cancer Type	Apj	proxima Diagn	te Date of osis
	GASTRIC CANCER			
V	KIDNEY CANCER	Jul	_	2020
V	LIVER CANCER	Jul		2020
	LUNG CANCER			
	PANCREATIC CANCER			
	PROSTATE CANCER			
V	OTHER CANCER: Brain and Bone	Jul		2020
V	DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER)	Oct	20	2021

12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff(s)

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):¹

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA

¹ In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
V	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, and WA
V	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
V	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
V	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
V	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
V	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
V	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
V	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
V	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
V	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
V	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
\	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
~	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
		Other Rev. Mo. Stat. 407.020 - Unlawful and Deceptive Merchandising Practices (Missouri)	

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):

V. JURY DEMAND

14. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

Attorney 1 Signature:
Attorney 1 Print:
Attorney 2 Signature:
Attorney 2 Print:
Firm:
Address 1:
Address 2:
City:
State:
Zip:
Email:
Phone: